arXiv:hep-ph/9710417v3 13 Feb 1998

KUNS-1473
HE(TH)97/16
hep-ph/9710417

Neutrino Mass Texture with Large Mixing

Masako BANDO*, Taichiro KUGO†, and Koichi YOSHIOKA‡

* *Aichi University, Aichi 470-02, Japan*

†,‡ *Department of Physics, Kyoto University Kyoto 606-01, Japan*

Abstract

We propose a simple texture for the right-handed Majorana mass matrix to give a large ν_μ–ν_τ mixing angle and hierarchical left-handed neutrino mass pattern. Consistently with the Dirac mass texture of the quark sector realizing the CKM mixing, this naturally explains the recent experimental results on both the atmospheric neutrino anomaly observed by the Superkamiokande collaboration and the solar neutrino problem. In this texture the right-handed Majorana mass of the third generation is of the order of GUT scale, which is favorable for reproducing the observed bottom-tau mass ratio.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq, 12.10.Kt, 12.15.Ff

---

*E-mail address: bando@aichi-u.ac.jp

†E-mail address: kugo@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

‡E-mail address: yoshioka@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

This letter has been motivated by the recent report on the atmospheric neutrino by the Superkamiokande collaboration [1], which shows a clear deficit of muon neutrino [2],

$$\frac{(N_{\nu_\mu}/N_{\nu_e})_{\rm data}}{(N_{\nu_\mu}/N_{\nu_e})_{\rm MC}} = \begin{cases} 0.635 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.053 & \text{(Sub-GeV)} \\ 0.604 \pm 0.065 \pm 0.065 & \text{(Multi-GeV)} \end{cases} . \tag{1}$$

The zenith angle distributions of the data are found to be reproduced quite well by requiring almost maximal mixing between ν_μ and ν_τ and the following squared mass difference [2]:

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\tau} = 0.8 \sim 1 \,, \qquad \Delta m^2_{23} \;=\; (0.4 \sim 5) \times 10^{-3}\ {\rm eV}^2. \tag{2}$$

In addition to these, there is another information on neutrinos if we take the result of solar neutrino deficit seriously; then, the following MSW solutions [3] are known to resolve the problem [4],[*]

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{e\mu} = 0.003 \sim 0.012 \,, \qquad \Delta m^2_{12} \;=\; (0.4 \sim 1.1) \times 10^{-5}\ {\rm eV}^2. \tag{3}$$

The minimum gauge group of the GUT including right-handed neutrino would be $SO(10)$, in which quarks and leptons appear on the same footing and, in particular, the neutrino is an $SU(4)_{\rm Pati-Salam}$ partner of the up-type quark. In such a framework, the Dirac-type masses for the neutrinos and charged leptons should be parallel with those for the up and down-type quarks, respectively. Then the additional freedom left in the neutrino mass matrix is only the right-handed Majorana mass matrix which comes from the Yukawa couplings of (the standard gauge group) singlet Higgs,[†] and all those together determine the left-handed Majorana masses by diagonalization procedure [7].

Now our question is whether those neutrino masses and mixing angles (2) and (3) are compatible with the ordinary lepton and quark mass matrices in the GUT framework.

---

[*] There is another solution with large mixing angle which is less preferable in view of the recent Superkamiokande reports on the day-night effect and the electron recoil energy spectrum [4].

[†] If a triplet Higgs exists, its VEV may be induced in a class of GUT models and can yield the left-handed Majorana mass term directly. This possibility has been examined in many literatures[5]. We assume here the models in which such direct left-handed Majorana mass terms are negligible[6].

Significant features of the observed CKM matrix and mass eigenvalues in the quark sector are the quite small mixing and large hierarchical mass structure. One might reproduce such extremely small mixing by a strong cancellation between the up and down sectors making use of, e.g., the so-called "democratic" mass matrices [8]. However we want to consider a more natural scenario in which small parameters appear without any fine-tuning, and adopt the most economical texture for the down-type quark and charged lepton sector (Georgi-Jarlskog type [9]):

$$M_d = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^3 & 0 \\ \lambda^3 & \lambda^2 & \lambda^2 \\ 0 & \lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad M_l = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^3 & 0 \\ \lambda^3 & -3\lambda^2 & \lambda^2 \\ 0 & \lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

with $\lambda = \sin\theta_{\rm C} \simeq 0.22$. This beautifully reproduces all the masses of the down-type quarks and charged leptons as well as the CKM mixing except for the 1-3 mixing angle which is supposed to come from the up quark sector.

As for the lepton sector, the mixing matrix is written as

$$V_{\rm KM} = U_l^\dagger U_\nu \tag{5}$$

where

$$U_l^\dagger M_l V_l = {\rm diag}(m_e, m_\mu, m_\tau)\,, \qquad U_\nu^\dagger M_\nu U_\nu = {\rm diag}(m_{\nu_1}, m_{\nu_2}, m_{\nu_3})\,. \tag{6}$$

The left-handed neutrino mass matrix M_ν is given by

$$M_\nu = M_{\nu{\rm D}}^{\rm T}\, M_R^{-1} M_{\nu{\rm D}}, \tag{7}$$

in the leading order in the inverse power M_R^{-1} of the right-handed neutrino mass matrix M_R, and $M_{\nu{\rm D}}$ is the neutrino Dirac mass matrix which is directly related to the up quark mass matrix which we shall study shortly.

First of all we show how the small mixing angle solution, $\sin^2 2\theta_{e\mu} = 0.003 \sim 0.012$ (corresponding to $\sin\theta_{e\mu} = 0.027 \sim 0.055$), can be consistent with the Cabibbo angle. At first sight one might naively think from the form (4) of M_l that $\sin\theta_{e\mu} \simeq \lambda^3/3\lambda^2 = \lambda/3 \sim 0.073$, which is still larger and outside the allowed region of the MSW small angle solution [10]. But note that $V_{\rm KM}$ consists of two parts, U_l and

U_ν, and that this calculation is based on the presumption that $U_\nu \simeq 1$ just like the up quark sector U_u. Now, the Superkamiokande report requires the maximal ν_μ–ν_τ mixing, which in our scenario should come from the U_ν side. Then the expression for the KM matrix should read

$$V_{\rm KM} = U_l^\dagger U_\nu \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda/3 & 0 \\ -\lambda/3 & 1 & -\lambda^2 \\ 0 & \lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

which predicts $\sin\theta_{e\mu} \simeq \lambda/(3\sqrt{2}) \simeq 0.052$, namely, $\sin^2 2\theta_{e\mu} \simeq 0.011$. This is well within the desired range of the MSW small angle solution. It is really remarkable that the only input of the maximal ν_μ–ν_τ mixing leads to a natural resolution for the discrepancy between the Cabibbo and MSW angles.

Next we show how the above ν_μ–ν_τ maximal mixing can be compatible with the GUT relations which connect the up-type quark mass matrix to the neutrino Dirac mass matrix. There are two types of economical texture to reproduce the low-energy up-type quark mass matrix when the down-type one is given by (4).

- type A

$$M_u = m_t \begin{pmatrix} x^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

- type B [11]

$$M_u = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & 0 \\ x & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

where x is a small parameter of order $\sim (1/100 - 1/300) \sim m_c/m_t$. The neutrino Dirac mass matrix $M_{\nu {\rm D}}$ is given in quite a parallel way with the above texture by replacing m_t with a scale $m \sim m_t/3$ in which the factor 3 represents the effect of renormalization group equation (RGE).

Using eq. (7), we can get the left-handed Majorana mass matrix once the right-handed Majorana mass matrix M_R is given. Our task is to seek for the texture of M_R which can reproduce the present experimental values of (2) and (3). In view of the mass difference data, Δm^2_{12} and Δm^2_{23}, the most natural possibility for the left-handed neutrino mass pattern is the following hierarchical one:

$$m_{\nu_1} \ll m_{\nu_2} \ll m_{\nu_3} \, . \tag{11}$$

Indeed, in this case, the squared mass difference data just tells us $\Delta m^2_{23} \simeq m^2_{\nu_3}$ and $\Delta m^2_{12} \simeq m^2_{\nu_2}$ so that $m_{\nu_3} \sim (2-7) \times 10^{-2}\,\mathrm{eV}$ and $m_{\nu_2} \sim (2-3) \times 10^{-3}\,\mathrm{eV}$. There is no fine-tuning required and so this is a natural possibility.

We here adopt the case of type B for $M_{\nu\mathrm{D}}$, since it realizes more natural pattern for the right-handed neutrino mass matrix than the type A case as we shall see. The characteristic feature of this type B texture is that the generation labels are exchanged between the first and the third and we shall call it "label exchanging texture". We can obtain a large ν_μ–ν_τ mixing angle together with the hierarchical mass pattern (11) by taking the following texture for the right-handed Majorana mass matrix with $O(1)$ coefficients α, β, γ.

$$M_R = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha M & \beta M & 0 \\ \beta M & \gamma M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M' \end{pmatrix} . \tag{12}$$

The resultant left-handed Majorana mass matrix becomes

$$\begin{aligned} M_\nu &= M_{\nu\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{T}} M_R^{-1} M_{\nu\mathrm{D}} \\ &= m^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & 0 \\ x & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} aM^{-1} & bM^{-1} & 0 \\ bM^{-1} & cM^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M'^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & 0 \\ x & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{m^2 x^2}{M} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon x^2 & 0 & \epsilon x \\ 0 & c & b \\ \epsilon x & b & a+\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\text{with} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix}^{-1} , \qquad \epsilon \equiv \frac{M}{M' x^2} \, . \tag{14}$$

In order to get a large ν_μ–ν_τ mixing angle, say, $|\tan\theta - 1| \lesssim 0.2$, the condition $|(a+\epsilon-c)/b| \lesssim 0.4$ should be satisfied. So, in particular,

$$\epsilon \ \lesssim \ O(1) \tag{15}$$

should hold since a, b, c are of order one. For the mass hierarchy $m_{\nu_2}/m_{\nu_3} \ll 1$ to be realized, the following determinant should be small:

$$\frac{1}{(a+\epsilon+c)^2}\det\begin{pmatrix} c & b \\ b & a+\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \sim \frac{m_{\nu_2}}{m_{\nu_3}} . \tag{16}$$

To see the situation more concretely, we can take, for example,

$$\epsilon = x, \quad a = c = 1.4 , \quad b = 1.2, \tag{17}$$

which corresponds to $\alpha = \gamma \simeq 2.7$ and $\beta \simeq -2.3$. Then, using $m_{\nu_3} \sim 3.6 \times 10^{-2}\,\mathrm{eV}$ (the best fit value for the atmospheric neutrino anomaly [2]) as an input together with $m \sim m_t/3 \sim 60\,\mathrm{GeV}$, we obtain, for a range of x values, $x \sim 1/100 - 1/300$, the right-handed neutrino masses

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha M \ &\sim \ |\beta|\, M \ \sim \ (7.0 - 0.7) \times 10^{10}\ \mathrm{GeV} \qquad (18) \\ M' \ &= \ M/x^3 \ \sim \ (2.6 - 7.8) \times 10^{16}\ \mathrm{GeV}, \qquad (19) \end{aligned}$$

and the left-handed neutrino mass eigenvalues and the mixing matrix

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\nu_1} \ &\sim \ (1.3 \times 10^{-8} - 5.0 \times 10^{-10})\ \mathrm{eV} , \qquad (20) \\ m_{\nu_2} \ &\sim \ 2.8 \times 10^{-3}\ \mathrm{eV} , \qquad (21) \\ m_{\nu_3} \ &\sim \ 3.6 \times 10^{-2}\ \mathrm{eV}\ (\mathrm{input}) , \qquad (22) \end{aligned}$$

$$V_{\mathrm{KM}} \ \simeq \ \begin{pmatrix} 0.997 & 0.049 & 0.049 \\ -0.069 & 0.736 & 0.675 \\ -0.0001 & -0.677 & 0.737 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{23}$$

The mixing matrix elements are stable up to $\lesssim 1/1000$ in this range of x. These values correspond to

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{e\mu} \ \sim \ 0.0094 , \quad \Delta m^2_{12} \ \sim \ 0.8 \times 10^{-5}\ \mathrm{eV}^2 , \tag{24}$$

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\tau} \ \sim \ 1 , \qquad \Delta m^2_{23} \ \sim \ 1.3 \times 10^{-3}\ \mathrm{eV}^2 , \tag{25}$$

and are surely in agreement with the recent experimental data (2) and (3).

It is interesting to note that in this label-exchanging texture case the right-handed Majorana mass of the third generation becomes the order of GUT scale:

$M' \simeq 10^{16}\,\mathrm{GeV} \simeq M_{\mathrm{GUT}}$. This feature is quite favorable for the GUT scenario to be consistent with the observed bottom-tau mass ratio; in the usual MSSM, the right-handed neutrino with intermediate scale mass suppresses the effect of top Yukawa contribution to RGE and enhances the bottom-tau mass ratio substantially, and it is required that the right-handed Majorana mass of the third generation must be heavier than at least $10^{13}\,\mathrm{GeV}$ [12]. If this label-exchanging type seesaw mechanism is realized, the right-handed neutrino of the third generation can be far heavier than the other two which are of the order of ordinary intermediate scale $\sim 10^{10}$ GeV. Interestingly enough, this right-handed neutrino of the third generation essentially determines the light neutrino mass of the first generation on the one hand, and contributes to the improvement for the problem of the fermion masses of the third generation, i.e., the bottom-tau mass ratio problem on the other hand. This can occur only in the type B texture for the up-type quark mass matrix.

We should add a comment on the fact that there is *no physical significance* in reproducing the desired form for the neutrino mass matrix M_ν itself. This is because, for any given Dirac mass matrix $M_{\nu\mathrm{D}}$, we can reproduce any desired form for the neutrino mass matrix M_ν by adjusting the right-handed neutrino mass matrix M_R; namely, we can take $M_R = M_{\nu\mathrm{D}} M_\nu^{-1} M_{\nu\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{T}}$. But the physics resides in the form of M_R. If the resultant form of M_R suggests a *natural* physics for the right-handed neutrino sector which is unknown yet, then the form of the original Dirac mass term $M_{\nu\mathrm{D}}$ will be meaningful. For instance, consider the type A Dirac mass matrix for $M_{\nu\mathrm{D}}$. Then (essentially) the same form of the left-handed neutrino mass matrix M_ν as eq. (13) can be realized by taking

$$M_R = \begin{pmatrix} M'x^2 & -\beta M & -\alpha M/x \\ -\beta M & \gamma M & \beta M/x \\ -\alpha M/x & \beta M/x & \alpha M/x^2 \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (26)$$

This form is, however, not very illuminating, and moreover contains three different scales for the right-handed neutrino masses: $M \sim 10^{10}\,\mathrm{GeV}$, $M'x^2 \sim M/x \sim 10^{12}$ GeV, $M/x^2 \sim 10^{14}\,\mathrm{GeV}$. So, in this sense, the type A Dirac mass matrix is not preferable.

A few more comments are in order:

The phenomenological nature of the present work should be noted: what we have done in this short note was just to have proposed a simple neutrino texture with large mixing as an extract of the neutrino data. But, as we have emphasized, it has natural features very suggestive for the existence of a simple underlying unified theory. It would, therefore, be an interesting and important next step to construct concrete models realizing this neutrino texture in a natural way.

The recent results of the CHOOZ long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment [13] imply that the ν_e–ν_τ mixing is small if one assumes the oscillations between three neutrino flavors and the hierarchical mass pattern [14]. This is in good agreement with our texture and resultant mixing angles (23). However, our texture is incompatible with the recent LSND observations [15]. It seems difficult to explain all the above neutrino oscillation experiments within the models with three species of neutrinos. If we take the cosmological indication of the neutrino with mass of a few eV as a candidate for the hot dark matter, we can also introduce the additional sterile neutrino other than the three neutrinos, since our texture predicts all the three neutrino masses are smaller than 0.1 eV. For models accommodating the hot dark matter results, see the papers [16].

In conclusion, we have shown that there is a good candidate for the texture of right-handed Majorana mass matrix to generate the large ν_μ–ν_τ mixing with hierarchical masses. This texture reproduces the recent Superkamiokande measurements quite naturally as well as the MSW small angle solution for the solar neutrino problem without any fine-tuning. A remarkable fact is that we can adopt the charged lepton and neutrino mass matrices which is quite consistent with the GUT relations to the quark sector, and especially we found that the small angle solution of MSW can be explained by the Cabibbo angle only, if the mixing angle for ν_μ–ν_τ is very large.

Acknowledgements

We started this work by a stimulating discussion with T. Yanagida while the Summer Institute '97 held at Yukawa Institute in August 1997. We are also indebted to him for his encouragement by many helpful discussions and his kindness to give us valuable new information on Superkamiokande. Discussions with N. Maekawa and J. Sato are also appreciated. M. B. and T. K. are supported in part by the Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research No. 09640375 and 08640367, respectively.

References

[1] Y. Totsuka, in LP '97, 18th International Symposium on Lepton-Photon Interactions, 1997, Hamburg, Germany.

[2] M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia *et al.*, preprint hep-ph/9801368

[3] L. Wolfenstein, *Phys. Rev.* **D17** (1978) 2369
S.P. Mikheyev and A.Yu. Smirnov, *Yad. Fiz.* **42** (1985) 1441; *Nuovo Cim.* **9C** (1986) 17

[4] N. Hata and P. Langacker, *Phys. Rev.* **D56** (1997) 6107
G.L. Fogli, E. Lisi and D. Montanino, BARI-TH/284-97 (hep-ph/9709473)

[5] R.N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, *Phys. Rev.* **D23** (1981) 165
J. Schechter and J.W.F. Valle, *Phys. Rev.* **D25** (1982) 774
B. Brahmachari and R.N. Mohapatra, UMD-PP-98-49 (hep-ph/9710371)

[6] D. Chang and R.N. Mohapatra, *Phys. Rev.* **D32** (1985) 1248

[7] There are many articles on the neutrino mass texture. E.g.,
G.K. Leontaris, S. Lola, C. Scheich and J.D. Vergados, *Phys. Rev.* **D53** (1996) 6381 and references therein.

[8] H. Harari, H. Haut and J. Weyers, *Phys. Lett.* **78B** (1978) 459
Y. Koide, *Phys. Rev.* **D28** (1983) 252; *ibid.* **D39** (1989) 1391
For this type mass matrix, the neutrino oscillation problem is analyzed in the following paper;
M. Fukugita, M. Tanimoto and T. Yanagida, UT-788 (hep-ph/9709388)

[9] H. Georgi and C. Jarlskog, *Phys. Lett.* **86B** (1979) 297

[10] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, in *Physics and Astrophysics of Neutrinos*, edited by M. Fukugita and A. Suzuki (Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, 1994)

[11] D. Ng and Y.J. Ng, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 2243
G.F. Giudice, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A7** (1992) 2429
W.-S. Hou and G.-G. Wong, *Phys. Rev.* **D52** (1995) 5269

[12] A. Brignole, H. Murayama and R. Rattazzi, *Phys. Lett.* **335B** (1994) 345
F. Vissani and A.Yu. Smirnov, *Phys. Lett.* **341B** (1994) 173

[13] M. Apollonio *et al.* (CHOOZ Collaboration), preprint hep-ex/9711002

[14] M. Narayan, G. Rajasekaran and S.U. Sankar, IMSC/97/12/41 (hep-ph/9712409)
C. Giunti, DFTT 05/98 (hep-ph/9802201)

[15] C. Athanassopoulos *et al.* (LSND Collaboration), *Phys. Rev.* **C54** (1996) 2685; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** (1996) 3082; UCRHEP-E197 (nucl-ex/9709006)

[16] J.T. Peltoniemi, D. Tommasini and J.W.F. Valle, *Phys. Lett.* **298B** (1993) 383
J.T. Peltoniemi and J.W.F. Valle, *Nucl. Phys.* **B406** (1993) 409
D.O. Caldwell and R.N. Mohapatra, *Phys. Rev.* **D48** (1993) 3259; *ibid.* **D50** (1994) 3477